land attempted to teach its young actors the communication strategies he learned while working at Microsoft. The strategies require people to identify their own and others’ goals in an interaction, to figure out an acceptable compromise, when necessary, and then to modify their behavior accordingly. When Bridgeland explained these techniques to the group, he saw a lot of head nods, “but I haven’t seen any changes in behavior,” he says. He speculates that either the actors’ clashes do not arise from a communication problem, or that the rational problem-solving techniques that worked so well for software engineers just aren’t that interesting for the theater’s artistic personalities.

Adopt an Attitude of Wisdom

On the first day of class, the deans of many medical schools greet their first-year medical students with this sobering fact: “Half of what we know is wrong. The problem is, we don’t know which half.” After all, even leeches have been resurrected from the scrap heap of medical science, and are currently enjoying a second run as the stewards of severed appendages.

Evidence-based management works best in wise organizations. Harvard Business School researcher Amy Edmondson found out that within such organizations, employees are seldom quiet or well behaved – at least by traditional “the boss is always right” standards.

In the mid-1990s, Edmondson was doing what she thought was a straightforward study of how leader and co-worker relationships might increase or decrease nurses’ errors. She was flabbergasted when nurse questionnaires showed that the units with the best leadership and best co-worker relationships reported making 10 times more errors than the worst!

Edmondson later realized that better units reported more errors because people felt “psychologically safe” to do so. In these units, nurses said things like “The environment is unforgiving. Heads will roll.” The physicians who helped sponsor her research no longer view error reports as purely objective evidence. Instead, they understand that they are partly a reflection of whether people are learning from and admitting mistakes, or covering things up to avoid blame.

In another study of nurses, Edmondson and colleague Anita Tucker concluded that those nurses whom doctors and administrators saw as most talented unwittingly caused the same mistakes to happen over and over. These “ideal” nurses quietly adjusted to inadequate materials without complaint, silently corrected others’ mistakes without confronting the error makers, created the impression that they never fail, and found ways to do their job quietly, without questioning flawed practices. While these nurses were getting sterling evaluations, their silence and ability to work around problems were undermining organizational learning.

Rather than hiring smart, silent types, Edmondson and Tucker concluded, hospitals would better serve their patients if instead they hired wise and noisy types, like the following:

Noisy Complainers repair problems right away and then let every relevant person know that the system failed.

Noisy Troublemakers always note others’ mistakes, but do so to help them and the system learn, not to point fingers.

Mindful Error Makers tell managers and peers about their own mistakes, so that others can avoid making them.

Disruptive Questioners won’t leave well enough alone; constantly ask why things are done that way, and whether there is a better way of doing the job.